

Record of Informal Expert Conferencing

Minutes - Specific Purpose (Burwood Landfill and Resource Recovery Park) Zone

Held 4 November 2015 at 8:30am at CERA

Attendees: Daniel Murray (AECOM on behalf of Burwood Resource Recovery Park), Andrew Henderson (Burwood Resource Recovery Park), Nardia Yozin (Crown), Annabel Ritchie (Crown), Kimberley Rolton (CCC), Alice Burnett (Beca, Minutes)

Item	Action
<p>1 General</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> n Kimberley circulated an updated version of the Proposal with amendments recommended following receipt of submissions - known as the "Redline" version. n Nardia explained that in terms of the overall amount of demolition CERA can only account for the red zone and the Port Hills demolitions. BRRP need to confirm through their contractors the amount of demolition recovery that still needs to be completed outside of this. 	
<p>2 Redline version discussion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> n All agree with the Objectives and Policies. n Rules: n Kimberley noted that at this point there is unlikely to be a need to cross reference Natural Hazards and the Hazardous Substances Chapter, as sought through their submission. n BRRP may have an issue with the noise provisions. n Kimberley outlined that the Council noise expert has suggested applying the Residential limits at the residential boundary and relaxing the Open Space limits for noise. BRRP is happy with this approach however, would prefer the noise provisions to be within the Specific Purpose chapter rather than referencing Chapter 6. n Controlled Activities: Daniel noted that the list of consultation parties may be inaccurate as some of these groups don't exist. n BRRP outlined that they attended a BRRP community liaison group meeting the day before where it was commented by some members that they did not understand what "owners and occupiers" were in relation to this Chapter. n P1: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - (c) & (d): Requirement for daily coverage has been removed- BRRP noted that they will likely be doing this weekly. All parties happy with this change. - (f): Kimberley removed reference to groundwater levels as it is already addressed by ECan. - Transport: reference to the Transport Chapter has been removed, however Kimberley has included the relevant rule from Chapter 7 as an activity permitted standard and proposed other permitted activity standards e.g. high trip generators, noise bund and acoustic fence, access routes. The proposed new appendix showing access routes relates to the old municipal landfill and BRRP outlined it is not appropriate to current activities taking place - demolition material sourced from different locations. - Rehabilitation: Updated standards are intended to be more flexible by not including a landscape plan. In relation to appropriate timeframes for the 	<p>Kimberley to look into whether there is scope and if it is necessary to amend list of parties and reference to "owners and occupiers"</p> <p>Kimberley to consider relevance of access route map to Proposal</p> <p>Kimberley to consider timeframes.</p>

<p>approval of a rehabilitation/ closure plan BRRP suggested 12 months, however there needed to flexibility around this also. BRRP happy in principle</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ P2: (b) lease for the Park discusses how the land should be returned, land fit for forestry. This is similar to the notified standard. ▪ P4: Kimberley to amend wording for clarity (that the material will be <u>used</u> on site). ▪ Definitions: Council is satisfied to accept amendments sought in submissions to provide consistence with Order in Council. ▪ Appendix 1- Shows new Site C area. Appendix will be updated to remove references to BRRP and add other aspects referred to in activity specific standards. ▪ SES: Council ecologists have been to site and based on their expertise the Council is not proposing any changes to the boundaries of this site. Daniel highlighted that there appears to be an inconsistency with the provisions of Chapter 9 in relation to SES - if there is no indigenous vegetation located on site then there is no restriction on activities taking place. Kimberley noted that consent wouldn't be needed if they weren't clearing indigenous vegetation. 	<p>Kimberley to clarify P4</p> <p>Kimberley to obtain update from Chapter Leader relating to SES provisions</p>
<p>3 Overall</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ BRRP are concerned over the noise from transport on the zone boundary of Residential properties at the site access. Kimberley will take their comments back to the Council noise expert. It was highlighted that the noise standards for the Residential zone have not been decided on yet. 	<p>Kimberley to discuss implications of noise standards at residential zone from the access route</p>

Minuted by: Alice Burnett

Signatures:


Daniel Murray


Andrew Henderson


Nardia Yozin


Annabel Ritchie


Kimberley Rolton